

These are shown in detail in the aerial image in Attachment 3 (Figure 4) and table below.

Option on Aerial Map	Site Address	Comments
A	New 35m Monopole Lilydale Lawn Cemetery 120 Victoria Road Lilydale	The Cemetery is managed by the Department of Health and Human Services. The Greater Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust was not supportive of the proposal as it was not compatible with their master plan for the property. This candidate was therefore discounted.
B	New 35m Monopole 159 Victoria Road Chirside Park	After initial discussions, the proposal was not compatible with the landowner's plans for the property. This candidate was therefore discounted.
C	New 35m Monopole 6 Honeysuckle Way Chirside Park	Contact with the landowner could not be established, in order to enter into a lease agreement for the proposed facility. This candidate was therefore discounted.
D	New 35m Monopole 5 Cherry Hill Way Chirside Park	Contact with the landowner was not able to be established in order to enter into a lease agreement for the proposed facility. This candidate was therefore discounted.
E	New 35m Monopole 7 Cherry Hill Way Chirside Park	Contact with the landowner was not able to be established in order to enter into a lease agreement for the proposed facility. This candidate was therefore discounted.
F	New 35m Monopole Lilydale Heights College 17-19 Nelson Road	This candidate proposed a monopole at the Lilydale Heights College. After initial discussions, the proposal was not compatible with the landowner's plans for the property. This candidate was therefore discounted.
G	New 35m Monopole Lilydale Memorial Park 126-128 Victoria Road	The Lilydale Memorial Park is managed by the Department of Health and Human Services. The Greater Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust was not supportive of the proposal as it was not compatible with their master plan for the property. This candidate was therefore discounted.

H	New 35m Monopole 167 Victoria Road Chirside Park	Contact with the landowner could not be established, in order to enter into a lease agreement for the proposed facility. This candidate was therefore discounted.
I	New 35m Monopole 165 Victoria Road Chirside Park	Contact with the landowner could not be established, in order to enter into a lease agreement for the proposed facility. This candidate was therefore discounted.
J	New 35m Monopole 163 Victoria Road Chirside Park	Contact with the landowner could not be established, in order to enter into a lease agreement for the proposed facility. This candidate was therefore discounted.
K	New 35m Monopole 161 Victoria Road Chirside Park	Contact with the landowner could not be established, in order to enter into a lease agreement for the proposed facility. This candidate was therefore discounted.
L	New 35m Monopole 157 Victoria Road Chirside Park	Contact with the landowner could not be established, in order to enter into a lease agreement for the proposed facility. This candidate was therefore discounted.
M	New 35m Monopole 151 Victoria Road Chirside Park	After initial discussions, the proposal was not compatible with the landowner's plans for the property. This candidate was therefore discounted.
N	New 35m Monopole 8 Honeysuckle Way Chirside Park	Contact with the landowner could not be established, in order to enter into a lease agreement for the proposed facility. This candidate was therefore discounted.
O	New 35m Monopole	Contact with the landowner could not be established, in order to enter into a lease agreement for the proposed facility. This candidate was therefore discounted.
P	New 35m Monopole	While Council was initially supportive of the proposal, the location was considered to have a high visual impact compared to the other candidates, due to the lack of vegetation which

	Cherry Hill Way Reserve 8 Cherry Hill Way Chirnside Park	afforded significant screening opportunities. This candidate was therefore discounted.
Q	New 35m Monopole 6 Meadow Fair Way Chirnside Park	Contact with the landowner could not be established, in order to enter into a lease agreement for the proposed facility. This candidate was therefore discounted.
R	New 35m Monopole 8 Meadow Fair Way Chirnside Park	This candidate proposed a 35m monopole within a rural paddock north of Switchback Road retaining a Green Wedge zoning. The candidate was considered favorable from a service perspective, as well as having good separation from surrounding land uses, within context. Additionally, the mature vegetation surrounding the proposed site location afforded some screening opportunities compared to the other potential candidates. While some vegetation removal is required to upgrade the existing access track, these are exotic species, which are not considered to have an adverse impact on the environment. Accordingly, this candidate was considered the most appropriate location for a new facility and is the subject of this planning permit application.